IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.40911-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 12, 2006

Azad Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Suraj Bhan and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr.J.S.Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
None for respondent No.1.
Mr.Partap Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.2.

..

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the respondent-State.

This order be read in continuation of the earlier order dated July 17, 2006 passed by this Court.

As per the office report, service is complete. However, no one is present on behalf of respondent No.1 (complainant).

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of the aforesaid interim order, the petitioner had appeared before the trial Court and furnished his regular bail bonds which have been accepted and attested and since then he is regularly appearing.

In view of the above facts and for the reasons stated in the order dated July 17, 2006, the same is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

September 12, 2006 (SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
vkg JUDGE